

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

								•
	and i	#134917 prison number s))				,	
v.), CIVII	ACTION N	10. J.J	3-CV-	199-	MHT
MADISON COUNT	ty Proban	From + Panole Departuent) (To)) U.S	oe suppli . Distric	ed by (t Court	Clerk :)	of	
Bridge Mr Grav	s Parole	Officer; Ala. Buseau of))					
PANDONS + PANO	les, CAM	WAND Cx. Ding clon,)		•			
Wigh GWAtha	vey Chri	expension DALLY Littleton	,)					
Ms. Mamher, Da Name of pe your const (List the persons.)	waywe Sperson cituti e name	(s) who violated onal rights. s of all the)))))		TREY CRAMPED CLY U.S. DISTRICT COURT HIDDLE DISTRICT ALA	2023 APR 10 A 10,39	THOM KID	
a.		you begun other ing with the same						
	actio	on? YES () No	o (%)					· ·
В.		you begun other ing to your impr			r feder) NO	ral co	urt	
C.	in th	f your answer to A or B is yes, describe each lawsuit n the space below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, escribe the additional lawsuits on another piece of aper, using the same outline.)						
	1.	Parties to this Plaintiff(s)	previous la	awsuit:				
		·						_
		Defendant(s)	N/A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	2.	Court (if federa state court, nam			listrict <i>N/A</i>	? if	•	_
						·		`

	Case 2:23-cv-00199-MHT-CSC Document 1 Filed 04/10/23 Page 2 of 10 3. Docket number
	4. Name of judge to whom case was assigned
	5. Disposition (for examples Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?)
	6. Approximate date of filing lawsuit
	7. Approximate date of disposition
II.	PLACE OF PRESENT CONFINEMENT W.E. Donaldson Consectional Facility
	100 WARRION LANG BESSEMEN Al. 35023
	PLACE OF INSTITUTION WHERE INCIDENT OCCURRED Madison County
	Probation + Parole Dept. + Ala. BURGAN OF PARDONS + PAROLE
III.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF INDIVIDUAL(S) YOU ALLEGE VIOLATED YOUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. NAME Madison Lowery Inchalian ADDRESS Delice 1. Laidget Me Graw Whiter Ave N.W. Mustrill, Al. 38801
	1. BRIDGET MEGNAW WACHEL AVE N.W. MUNISVINE, H. SOOV
	2. Cam Wand 100 Capital Commence Alad. Suite 310 Mont. Al. 36117 3. Leigh Gwathwey 100 Capital Commence Alad. Suite 310 Mont. Al. 36117
	3. Leigh Gwathney 100 Capital Commence Alod. Suite 310 Mont. Al. 36117
	4. PARRY/ Cittleton 100 Capital Commerce Blod. Swite 310 Mont. Al 36117
	5. Dwayne Spunlock 100 Capital Commerce Blud. Switz 310 Mont, Al. 36117
	6.
IV.	THE DATE UPON WHICH SAID VIOLATION OCCURRED July 24, 2002
٧.	STATE BRIEFLY THE GROUNDS ON WHICH YOU BASE YOUR ALLEGATION THAT YOUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE BEING VIOLATED:
	GROUND ONE: Martiff was depained of rights and painifedge of Procedural Pue
	PROCESS of law secured by the 5th & 14th Amendment of the Constitution
	and laws of the united states. Defendants conduct was committed under color
	of State Law. 2

FACTS IN SUPPORT OF GROUNDS

On or aboutJune of 2002 in the Madison County Probation Dept. Parole Officer Ms. Bridget NcGraw held a preliminary parole court hearing on the plaintiff. Plaintiff was with counsel, Mr. J. Brice Callaway. The defendant Ms. McGraw was personally involved in intiating revocation charges against plaintiff. Said actions were in violation of the minimal requirement a parolee is afforded in a parole court hearing. (see paragraph (3) line (1) page (1) attactment with affidavit.)

GROUND 2. Plaintiff was deprived a right and privilege of due process and equal protection of the law., secured by the 14th Amendment, and the right to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor in accordance to the 6th Amendment of the United States Constitution and laws of the United States.

Defendant conduct was committed under color of State law.

FACTS IN SUPPORT OF GROUNDS

On or about June of 2002 during preliminary parole court hearing, defendant Ms. McGraw of the Madison County Probation and Parole Dept. denied plaintiff right to present witnesses and documentary evidence in his favor. Ms. Mcgraw personally told plaintiff during said hearing witnesses are not allowed. Siad actions were in violation of the minimal requirement plaintiff is accorde in preliminary parole court hearing.

GROUND 3. Plaintiff was deprived a right and privilege of due process and equal protection of the law secured by the lath Amendant of the Constitution and laws of the United States. Defendant conduct was committed under color of State law.



FACTS IN SUPPORT OF GROUNDS

During preliminary parole court hearing Madison County Probation and Parole Department denied plaintiff right to conform
those providing adverse information against him. This was a
violation of the minimal requirement plaintiff is accorded in
in preliminary parole court hearing.

GROUND 4. Plaintiff was deprived a right and privilege of procedural due process secured by the 14th Amendment of the Constitution and laws of the United States. Defendant conduct was comitted under color of State law.

FACTS IN SUPPORT OF GROUNDS

During the month of June 2002 the Madison County Probation
Department fail to provide plaintiff notice of facts upon which
revocation charges were based. A written summary of the evidence
and arguments presented: and a written decision containing the
facts and reasoning for finding probable cause.

GROUND 5. Plaintiff was deprived a right to due process and equal protection of the law secured by the 5th and 14th Amend. of the Constitution and the laws of the United States. Defendants conduct was committed under color of State law.

12.

FACTS IN SUPPORT OF GROUNDS

Ms. McGraw was a parole officer employed by the Madison County Probation and Parole Department. The Madison County Probation and Parole department is responsible for actions of those in agency, defendants fail all procedural due process requirement allowed. The denial of Constitutional rights was deprivedby by the parole officer, and supervisor of each department and of the madison County Probation and Parole Department.

GROUND 6. Plaintiff was deprived a right to due process and equal protection of the law secured by the 14th Amendment of the Constitution and the laws of the United States. Defendent conduct was committed under color of state law.

FACTS IN SUPPORT OF GROUNDS

On or about July 24, 2002 plaintiff parole was revoked by the Alabama Bureau of Pardons & Paroles. The pardons & Paroles fail to afford plaintiff a final revocation hearing in revoking plaintiff parole. Plaintiff was placed in the custody of the Alabama Department of Corrections without ever recieving a final revocation hearing, causing plaintiff to be leagally detain in prison from 2002 to this present time and date. Plaintiff has on several account made this known to the Bereau of Pardons & Parole. Plaintiff was brought up for parole consideration four times being denied all four times. Each time it was brought to the parole Board members attention of this illeagal detainment as well the matter was made known to the executive director of the Parole Board. This issue went unresolved.

VI. STATE BRIEFLY EXACTLY WHAT YOU WANT THE COURT TO DO FOR YOU HAKE HO LEAGAL ARGUMENT. CITE NO CASES OR STATUTES.

Whenefore plaintiff request this Honorable Court grant the following relief: A) Grant compensatory damages in the following amount; \$1500.00 per day for each illegally detained in the custody of Department of Corrections dating from June 18th, 2002 to present time and date of oeder; \$300,000.00 for pain and suffering.

- B) Grant punitive damages of \$300,000.00 against each defendants.
- C) Issue an injunction order that defendants reinstate plaintiff parole in line with conditions desired of by the plaintiff
- D) Grant such other relief as it may appear plaintiff is entitled

Signature & plaintiff

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED ON

Signature of plaintiff

The liberty of a parolee enables him to do a wide range of things open to persons who have never ben convicted of any crime: The parolee has been released from prison based on an evaluation that he shows reasonable promise of being able to return to society and function as a reasonable self-reliant person. Subject to the conditions of his parole, he can be gainfully employed and is free to be with family and friends and to form the other enduring attachments of normal life.

[The Court held that a parolee is entitled to two types of hearing: (1) A "preliminary" hearing to determine whether there is probable cause or reasonable grounds to believe that the parolee has violated the terms of parole, and (2) A final revocation hearing before the parole board for a final evaluation of any contested relevant facts and consideration of whether the facts as determined warrant revocation.

At the preliminary hearing you are minimally entitled to: (1) A hearing conducted by one not involved in initiating revocation charges; (2) Notice of the facts upon which the revocation charges are based; (3) The right to be present and to be heard on your behalf; (4) A written summary of the evidence and arguments presented; (5) A written decision containing the facts and reasoning for finding probable cause, & (6) The right to confront those providing adverse information unless the hearing officer determine that the informant would be subjected to cisk of harm if his/her identity was disclosed.

The minimal due process to be accorded a parolee before his parole can be revoked includes: (1) Written notice of the claimed violations of parole; (2) disclosure to the parolee of the evidence against him; (3) an opportunity to be heard in person. and present witnesses and documentary evidence; (4) the right to cross-examnic adverse witnesses; (5) a netural and detached hearing body such as a traditional parole board member; (6) and a written statement by the fact finder as to the evidence relied on and the reasons for revoking parole. Ala. R. Crim. P. 27.5 and 27.

I Anthony J. Cable being over the age of 21 do swear the following statement is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and abilty:

On the 18th day of June 2002, my parole was revoked /violated by the Madison County Probation & Pawole department. My parole officer Ms. Bridget McGraw violated my parole. Her actions were supported by her supervisor Mr. T.C. Bill.

I was later given a preliminary heasing, this hearing was held by Mr. Bill and Ms. McGraw. I was represented by attorney, Mr. J. Brice Callaway of Huntsville, Ala. During said hearing we were not allowed to present witnesses or documentary evidence.

We were not allowed to cross examine witness against me. Nor was I afforded a copy of a written decision containing the facts and reasoning for finding probable cause that I violated the term of of mymy parole. During this hearing we were not provided notice of the facts upon which the revocation charges were based.

On the 24th of July 2002, my parole was revoked by the Bureau of Pardons & Paroles. At no point and time was I ever afforded a final revocation hearing. I was placed in the custody of the Al. Department of Corrections.

I and my family have made this violation of my rights known to the following personell departments in the Bureau of Pardons & Parole; Legal Division, revolution Division, Executive Director, and Parole Board Members. On four accounts my family attended parole hearings held on me. Each time notifying the Board of me never being afforded a revocation hearing. All four times the Board denied me parole. The Board Members disregarded this information. Thus I have been illegally detained twenty plus years inthe custody of the Ala. Department of Corrections.

Signature:

Done this 27 day of Feburary 2023.

Case 2:23-cv-00199-MHT-CSC

ANTHONY J. CABLE #E 134917

W.E. DOWALDS ON COIN. FACILITY

100 WARRION LANE

BESSEMER, Al. 35023

K-125

Department
of Corrections (ABOC) is not responsible for
the substance or content of the enciosed
communication."

United States District Court
for the Middle District

B 110 Krank M. Johnson Jr.

U.S. Courthouse Complex

One Church St.

P.O. Box 711

Montgomery, Al. 36101-0711

LEGAL ONLY